


# Subtask C-D3

## Status report

- **Oriol Gavalrà**
- *24th October 2011*


## Subtask C-D3

- A questionnaire was sent around to all partners
- The results are summarised in the present presentation




# General data

How many solar thermal plants bigger than 1000 m2 have you designed?



Between 1 and 5	3	25%
Between 5 and 10	2	17%
More than 10	4	33%
Other	3	25%


Please finish the sentence with the most adequate ending : "In most of the solar thermal plants we have designed, the developer was




Public (including state owned ESCOs)"	1	8%
Private"	2	17%
PPP (Private Public Partnership)"	1	8%
Private with help of public funds"	6	50%
Other	2	17%

# General data

Please finish the sentence with the most adequate ending : "In most of the solar thermal plants the most important indicators to move forward the installation were



Please finish the sentence with the most adequate ending : "In most of the solar thermal plants the developer was



# Feasibility tools


- Tool to be developed
  - 1 unclear question
  - 5 blank answers (unclear question?)
  - 6 simple and user friendly, but trustworthy (most mentioning TRNSYS)

In the feasibility study phase, which is the main focus of your tools? - Technical analysis



# Feasibility tools

In the feasibility study phase, which is the main focus of your tools? - Economical analysis



Fast/simple

3

25%

Detailed

4

33%

Sensitivity

4

33%

In the feasibility study phase, which is the main focus of your tools? - Environmental analysis



Fast/simple

9

75%

Detailed

2

17%

Sensitivity


0

0%

# Feasibility tools

- Reference case considered
  - 4 gas boilers
  - 4 only mentioning fossil fuels, no transformation system
  - 1 gas CHP

In the feasibility study phase, you analyse the demand, in most of the cases,



Based on previous experience and ratios

4 33%

Based on real data, on a monthly basis


3 25%

Other

5 42%


# Feasibility tools

In the feasibility study phase, do you base your analysis on commercial products, or you evaluate the behaviour in general criteria? (type of collector, surface of solar thermal collector, volume of accumulation,...)



Commercial products, we evaluate various possibilities	5	42%
Commercial products, we are also manufacturers, we only use ours	1	8%
General criteria	4	33%
Other	2	17%

In the feasibility study phase, you design mainly




Based on experience and previously acquired ratios	1	8%
Based on a technical analysis for each of the cases	2	17%
Both	8	67%
Other	1	8%




# Feasibility tools

In the feasibility analysis phase, the main indicator of the studies is...




In the feasibility study phase, as for investment costs, you use



# Feasibility tools

In the feasibility study phase, as for investment costs, you use



Real pre-made budgets

Analytical functions of costs of the different elements of the installations

A cost per square meter of collector

Other


6 50%

3 25%

1 8%

2 17%

How often do you analyse the used ratios or costs?



Yearly

Every two years

Every three years

Other

5 42%


1 8%

1 8%

5 42%

# Feasibility tools

In the feasibility study phase, how often do you actualise the operational costs?




4	33%
3	25%
2	17%
3	25%

- Increase in energy prices considered
  - Very disperse answers (from 3 to 12%)
- Sensitivity analyses
  - Not too much consideration
  - No use of a common tool (only R one partner)

# Feasibility tools

- Database of all installations, with technical data
  - Everyone agrees
  - Two existing databases:
    - [www.solar-district-heating.eu](http://www.solar-district-heating.eu)
    - <http://www.solarthermie2000plus.de/>
  - One answer mentioning that problems should be explained as well

The environmental indicators you use for the evaluation of your system are based on...



# Partners who replied

Ritter XL Solar

LOGSTOR

University of Stuttgart, Institute of Thermodynamics and Thermal Engineering

Solites

Chemnitz University of Technology/Professorship Technical Thermodynamics

Politecnico di Milano

IREC

Energie Solaire SA

SOLID

PlanEnergi

AIGUASOL

# Main considerations, after the results

- A simplified tool, easy to use, is seen as necessary
- Most of the partners, though, tend to think this tool must be technically trustworthy (a lot think it has to be TRNSYS based)
- Some effort should be done in the agreement in economic hypotheses and necessary sensitivity analyses in the economic part
- Conclusions to be discussed in the meeting!!!



Oriol.gavalda@aiguasol.coop



C/ Roger de Llúria 29, 3º 2ª  
E-08009 BARCELONA



(T) + 34 93 342 47 55  
(F) + 34 93 342 47 56



aiguasol.coop

